

## 1.4 Feedback System

1.4.1 Institution obtains feedback on the syllabus and its transaction at the institution from the following stakeholders 1) Students 2) Faculty 3) Employers 4) Alumni

<b>S.no</b>	<b>Students Feedback</b>
1	Department of Computer Science and Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
2	Department of Computer Science and Engineering-AIML (2022-23 to 2023-24)
3	Department of Civil Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
4	Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
5	Department of Mechanical Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
	<b>Faculty Feedback</b>
6	Department of Computer Science and Engineering (2023-24)
7	Department of Computer Science and Engineering-AIML (2022-23 to 2023-24)
8	Department of Civil Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
9	Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
10	Department of Mechanical Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
	<b>Employer Feedback</b>
11	Department of Computer Science and Engineering (2023-24)
12	Department of Computer Science and Engineering-AIML (2022-23 to 2023-24)
13	Department of Civil Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
14	Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
15	Department of Mechanical Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
	<b>Alumni Feedback</b>
16	Department of Computer Science and Engineering (2023-24)
17	Department of Computer Science and Engineering-AIML (2022-23 to 2023-24)
18	Department of Civil Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
19	Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)
20	Department of Mechanical Engineering (2022-23 to 2023-24)

# **Students Feedback**

**(Department & Session Wise)**

Department  
of  
Computer  
Science and  
Engineering

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Student Curriculum Feedback

ODD SEM 2<sup>nd</sup> Year/3<sup>rd</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values (In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability (Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2105050100060	YAHYA NASEEM	3	4	5	3	4	3	2	2	3	4
2205050100002	ABHISHEK PAL	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	5
2205050100004	AKHILESH SACHAN	5	4	5	3	4	2	3	4	3	5
2205050100006	ALKA YADAV	2	5	4	2	4	3	3	5	3	3
2205050100007	AMIT YADAV	3	5	5	2	4	3	2	3	2	5
2205050100008	ARPIT KUMAR	4	5	4	4	4	2	3	2	1	5
2205050100009	ARPITA SHARMA	5	5	4	4	4	3	3	4	1	5
2205050100013	CHITRANSHU KUMAR	5	2	5	4	2	2	3	4	1	5
2205050100018	GURNEET SINGH	4	2	4	4	2	3	2	4	1	4
2205050100020	HARSH KUMAR	3	3	3	4	2	2	3	4	2	4
2205050100022	KARTAVYA SINGH	2	1	5	4	2	2	3	4	1	3
2205050100032	MOHINISH JAISWAL	1	3	4	4	2	3	4	4	2	4
2205050100035	NITESH GIRI	2	2	5	4	5	3	3	4	3	4
2205050100037	PANKAJ KUSHWAHA	4	3	4	5	5	4	4	5	2	3
2205050100040	PRABAL MISHRA	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2205050100043	PRATHAM JAISWAL	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	1	4
2205050100044	RAVENDRA SINGH YADAV	3	3	2	5	3	5	2	5	3	5
2205050100045	RISHABH VERMA	4	4	1	5	3	2	1	4	2	5
2205050100046	RIYA YADAV	5	2	4	5	5	2	1	4	1	4
2205050100053	SHUBHAM KUMAR VERMA	2	5	5	3	4	1	2	4	2	4
2205050100056	SYEDA TUBA RIZVI	3	5	4	3	5	1	3	4	1	4
2305050109006	RISHABH JHA	1	5	5	3	4	3	3	4	3	5
	Average	3.3	3.5	4.1	3.8	3.6	2.8	2.9	4.0	2.0	4.3

### Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken:** - There is a need of more industry related training. Workshops & Training Session were proposed.



Dr. Sudhir Singh  
HOD CSE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Student Curriculum Feedback

ODD SEM 3rd Year/5<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2105050100001	AABISH KHAN	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2105050100008	ANKUSH KUMAR VERMA	3	4	5	5	5	2	2	2	4	3
2105050100018	DUSHALI	4	5	5	3	3	5	1	5	5	4
2105050100019	GAURAVI SHUKLA	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3
2105050100025	KHUSHI GUPTA	2	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3
2105050100026	KOMAL SHARMA	4	3	2	2	5	5	4	5	5	3
2105050100031	MOHD SHADAN	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2105050100038	SAYED OMER AHMED	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2105050100042	RITIK GUPTA	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2
2105050100044	SAGAR KUSHWAHA	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2105050100046	SARTHAK SAXENA	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2105050100050	SHREEYANSHI SHUKLA	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2105050100052	SUZAIN ALI	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2105050100063	YASH RAJ SINGH	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	2	5
2105050100064	ZEENAT FATIMA	3	5	5	4	5	4	2	4	2	4
2205050109001	ATUL KUMAR	4	5	2	3	5	4	4	5	4	5
2205050109003	KANISHKA UPADHYAY	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
2205050109005	RITIK KUMAR CHATURVEDI	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	3
2205050109007	UTKARSH MISHRA	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	3
	Average	3.71	4.25	4.00	4.29	4.50	4.13	3.71	4.38	4.54	3.46

## Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.
- 3 After averaging it was found that according to the feedback given by students "Syllabus is not much aliened so as to meet the demand of higher studies"

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done, GATE classes were started so as to make students capable of clearing exams and persue higher studies.



Dr. Sudhir Singh  
HOD CSE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)

ODD SEM 4th Year/7<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability (Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2005050100001	AAYSHA NOOR	2	5	4	2	4	3	3	5	3	3
2005050100009	ANIL KUMAR	3	5	5	2	4	3	5	3	2	5
2005050100010	AQSA NASEEM	4	5	4	4	4	2	3	2	4	5
2005050100011	ARADHYA UTTAM	5	5	4	4	4	3	3	4	5	5
2005050100016	BRAJ KISHOR	5	2	5	4	2	2	3	4	5	5
2005050100021	JATIN KUMAR	4	2	4	4	2	3	5	4	1	4
2005050100024	KAJAL KUMARI	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2005050100026	MANSI TIWARI	2	1	5	4	2	3	3	4	1	3
2005050100036	NANDINI GUPTA	1	3	4	4	2	3	4	4	5	4
2005050100039	POOJA SAHU	2	2	5	4	5	3	3	4	3	4
2005050100041	PRINCE SINGH	4	3	4	5	5	4	4	5	2	3
2005050100046	ROHAN SINGH	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2005050100047	RUBINA NAAZ	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2005050100052	SAURABH GUPTA	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2005050100053	SHIVAM DIXIT	4	4	1	5	3	3	1	4	2	5
2005050100054	SHIVENDRA KUMAR	5	2	4	5	5	2	1	4	1	4
2005050100058	TANU SINGH	2	5	5	3	4	4	2	4	4	4
2005050100063	VISHAKHA GAUTAM	3	5	4	3	5	1	3	4	1	4
2105050109002	RAHUL GUPTA	1	5	5	3	4	3	3	4	3	5
Average		3.2	3.5	4.0	3.9	3.5	3.0	3.2	4.1	2.8	4.2

### Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done, Students were motivated for higher Studies & Entrepreneurship.



Dr. Sudhir Singh  
HOD CSE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science and Engineering- AIML

Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)

ODD SEM 2nd Year/3rd Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2205051530004	ADITYA UPADUHYAY	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2205051530007	AKASH PRAJAPATI	4	5	3	4	3	2	3	5	3	4
2205051530016	AMAN MISHRA	3	4	5	2	1	4	1	3	3	5
2205051530018	AMAN YADAV	3	2	5	4	3	2	1	3	5	2
2205051530020	ANAS AHMAD	4	3	5	3	2	4	5	3	2	4
2205051530022	ANIQUE SHAMS	5	3	1	2	3	2	1	4	5	5
2205051530024	ANMOL NIGAM	3	4	5	5	4	3	3	1	5	2
2205051530031	ANSHUL TIWARI	3	4	5	5	5	2	2	2	4	3
2205051530032	ANSHUMAN SINGH	4	5	5	3	3	5	1	5	5	4
2205051530040	ASHEESH KUMAR	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3
2205051530043	ASHWIN	2	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3
2205051530046	AYUSHI RASTOGI	4	3	2	2	5	5	4	5	5	3
2205051530047	AYUSHI YADAV	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2205051530049	DHRUV GUPTA	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2205051530054	HEMCHAND	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3
2205051530056	HIMANSHU JAISWAL	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2
2205051530057	HRIHANSHI SHARMA	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2
2205051530058	ISHAN SHUKLA	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2205051530063	KHUSHI MAHESHWARI	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2205051530065	KUSHIKA AGARWAL	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2205051530066	LALIMA	1	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
Average		3.67	3.62	4.00	4.05	3.95	3.76	3.48	4.05	4.48	3.33

### Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done, Industry related Training & Workshop were required.



Dr. Sudhir Singh  
HOD CSE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science and Engineering-AIML

Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)

ODD SEM 3rd Year/5<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2005051530011	AYUSH SAVITA	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2105051530001	AARADHYA TRIPATHI	2	1	5	4	2	3	3	4	1	3
2105051530003	ABHIJEET SINGH RATHORE	1	3	4	4	2	3	4	4	5	4
2105051530012	AMAN REHMAN	2	2	5	4	5	3	3	4	3	4
2105051530015	ANANYA GUPTA	4	3	4	5	5	4	4	5	2	3
2105051530020	ANSHUL KUMAR MANDAL	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2105051530029	ARVIND CHAUDHARY	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2105051530031	AVANTIKA GUPTA	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2105051530032	AYUSH KATIYAR	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2105051530039	GYANSI GUPTA	2	1	5	4	2	3	3	4	1	3
2105051530042	HARSH YADAV	1	3	4	4	2	3	4	4	5	4
2105051530046	KAVYAM MISHRA	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2105051530050	MALAY GUPTA	3	4	5	5	5	2	2	2	4	3
2105051530075	PRANSHU PAL	4	5	5	3	3	5	1	5	5	4
2105051530076	PRASHANT KUMAR SHUKLA	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3
2105051530080	PRIYANSHU GUPTA	2	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3
	Average	2.88	3.06	4.19	4.38	3.31	3.56	3.56	4.25	3.31	3.75

## Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done , GATE classes were started so as to make students capable of clearing exams and persue higher studies.



Dr. Sudhir Singh  
HoD CSE



# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)

EVEN SEM 2<sup>nd</sup> Year/4<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2105050100060	YAHYA NASEEM	1	3	4	4	2	3	4	4	5	4
2205050100002	ABHISHEK PAL	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2205050100004	AKHILESH SACHAN	3	4	5	5	5	2	2	2	4	3
2205050100006	ALKA YADAV	4	5	5	3	3	5	1	5	5	4
2205050100007	AMIT YADAV	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3
2205050100008	ARPIT KUMAR	2	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3
2205050100009	ARPITA SHARMA	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2205050100013	CHITRANSHU KUMAR	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2205050100018	GURNEET SINGH	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2205050100020	HARSH KUMAR	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2205050100022	KARTAVYA SINGH	2	1	5	4	2	3	3	4	1	3
2205050100032	MOHINISH JAISWAL	4	5	3	4	5	4	5	4	5	4
2205050100035	NITESH GIRI	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2205050100037	PANKAJ KUSHWAHA	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2205050100040	PRABAL MISHRA	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2205050100043	PRATHAM JAISWAL	4	5	2	4	5	5	4	5	5	5
2205050100044	RAVENDRA SINGH YADAV	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	2	5
2205050100045	RISHABH VERMA	3	5	5	4	5	4	2	4	2	4
2205050100046	RIYA YADAV	4	5	2	3	5	4	4	5	4	5
2205050100053	SHUBHAM KUMAR VERMA	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
2205050100056	SYEDA TUBA RIZVI	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	3
2305050109006	RISHABH JHA	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	3
	Average	3.55	4.14	4.05	4.36	3.95	3.95	3.68	4.32	3.91	3.95

### Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done, Industry related Training & Workshop were required.



Dr. Sudhir Singh  
HOD CSE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Student Curriculum Feedback (2022-23)

EVEN SEM 3rd Year/6<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2105050100001	AABISH KHAN	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2105050100008	ANKUSH KUMAR VERMA	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2105050100018	DUSHALI	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2105050100019	GAURAVI SHUKLA	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2105050100025	KHUSHI GUPTA	2	1	5	4	2	3	3	4	1	3
2105050100026	KOMAL SHARMA	1	3	4	4	2	3	4	4	5	4
2105050100031	MOHD SHADAN	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2105050100038	SAYED OMER AHMED	3	4	5	5	5	2	2	2	4	3
2105050100042	RITIK GUPTA	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2105050100044	SAGAR KUSHWAHA	4	5	2	4	5	5	4	5	5	5
2105050100046	SARTHAK SAXENA	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	2	5
2105050100050	SHREEYANSHI SHUKLA	3	5	5	4	5	4	2	4	2	4
2105050100052	SUZAIN ALI	4	5	2	3	5	4	4	5	4	5
2105050100063	YASH RAJ SINGH	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
2105050100064	ZEENAT FATIMA	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	3
2205050109001	ATUL KUMAR	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	3
2205050109003	KANISHKA UPADHYAY	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2205050109005	RITIK KUMAR CHATURVEDI	3	4	5	5	5	2	2	2	4	3
2205050109007	UTKARSH MISHRA	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
Average		3.21	3.95	4.11	4.32	4.05	3.74	3.53	4.26	3.84	4.11

### Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.
- 3 After averaging it was found that according to the feedback given by students "Syllabus is not much alliened so as to meet the demand of higher studies"

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done , GATE classes were started so as to make students capable of clearing exams and persue higher studies.



Dr. Sudhir Singh  
HOD CSE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)  
EVEN SEM 4th Year/8<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2005050100001	AAYSHA NOOR	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2005050100009	ANIL KUMAR	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2005050100010	AQSA NASEEM	4	5	2	4	5	5	4	5	5	5
2005050100011	ARADHYA UTTAM	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	2	3
2005050100016	BRAJ KISHOR	3	5	5	4	5	4	2	4	2	4
2005050100021	JATIN KUMAR	4	5	2	3	5	4	4	5	4	5
2005050100024	KAJAL KUMARI	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	3
2005050100026	MANSI TIWARI	4	5	5	3	3	5	1	5	5	4
2005050100036	NANDINI GUPTA	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3
2005050100039	POOJA SAHU	2	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3
2005050100041	PRINCE SINGH	4	3	2	2	5	5	4	5	5	3
2005050100046	ROHAN SINGH	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2005050100047	RUBINA NAAZ	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2005050100052	SAURABH GUPTA	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3
2005050100053	SHIVAM DIXIT	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2
2005050100054	SHIVENDRA KUMAR	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2
2005050100058	TANU SINGH	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2005050100063	VISHAKHA GAUTAM	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2105050109002	RAHUL GUPTA	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
	Average	3.84	4.11	3.79	4.26	4.47	4.21	3.79	4.58	4.47	3.37

### Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
2. Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done, Students were motivated for higher Studies & Entrepreneurship.



Dr. Sudhir Singh  
HOD CSE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science Engineering- AIML

Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)

Even SEM 2nd Year/4<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)


Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2205051530004	ADITYA UPADUHYAY	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2205051530007	AKASH PRAJAPATI	1	3	4	4	2	3	4	4	5	4
2205051530016	AMAN MISHRA	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2205051530018	AMAN YADAV	3	4	5	5	5	2	2	2	4	3
2205051530020	ANAS AHMAD	4	5	5	3	3	5	1	5	5	4
2205051530022	ANIQUE SHAMS	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3
2205051530024	ANMOL NIGAM	2	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3
2205051530031	ANSHUL TIWARI	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2205051530032	ANSHUMAN SINGH	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2205051530040	ASHEESH KUMAR	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2205051530043	ASHWIN	2	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3
2205051530046	AYUSHI RASTOGI	4	3	2	2	5	5	4	5	5	3
2205051530047	AYUSHI YADAV	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2205051530049	DHRUV GUPTA	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2205051530054	HEMCHAND	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2205051530056	HIMANSHU JAISWAL	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2205051530057	HRIHANSHI SHARMA	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3
2205051530058	ISHAN SHUKLA	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2
2205051530063	KHUSHI MAHESHWARI	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2
2205051530065	KUSHIKA AGARWAL	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2205051530066	LALIMA	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
	Average	3.58	3.47	3.89	4.47	4.16	3.95	4.00	4.47	4.53	3.32

### Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criterias.
- 3 After averaging it was found that according to the feedback given by students "Syllabus is not much alliened so as to meet the demand of higher studies"

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done , GATE classes were started so as to make students capable of clearing exams and persue higher studies.

  
 Dr Sudhir Singh  
 HOD CSE.

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science Engineering- AIML

Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)

EVEN SEM 3<sup>rd</sup> Year / 6<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)


Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2005051530011	AYUSH SAVITA	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2105051530001	AARADHYA TRIPATHI	3	4	5	5	5	2	2	2	4	3
2105051530003	ABHIJEET SINGH RATHORE	4	5	5	3	3	5	1	5	5	4
2105051530012	AMAN REHMAN	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3
2105051530015	ANANYA GUPTA	2	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3
2105051530020	ANSHUL KUMAR MANDAL	4	3	2	2	5	5	4	5	5	3
2105051530029	ARVIND CHAUDHARY	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2105051530031	AVANTIKA GUPTA	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2105051530032	AYUSH KATIYAR	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3
2105051530039	GYANSI GUPTA	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2
2105051530042	HARSH YADAV	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2
2105051530046	KAVYAM MISHRA	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2105051530050	MALAY GUPTA	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2105051530075	PRANSHU PAL	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2105051530076	PRASHANT KUMAR SHUKLA	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	4	2
2105051530080	PRIYANSHU GUPTA	3	5	4	3	4	5	4	5	5	3
	Average	3.63	3.88	3.88	4.13	4.38	3.88	3.81	4.44	4.69	2.94

### Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criterias.

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done , GATE classes were started so as to make students capable of clearing exams and persue higher studies.

  
 Dr. Sudhir Singh  
 HoD CSE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science Engineering-AIML

Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)

EVEN SEM 4<sup>th</sup> Year / 8<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2005051530007	ANUPAM SINGH	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2005051530009	ANURAG TRIPATHI	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2005051530012	CHANDNI VERMA	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2005051530014	DEEPIKA SHUKLA	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2005051530016	DIVYANSHI JAUHARI	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3
2005051530017	ESHA PAL	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2
2005051530018	GAURAV SINGH	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2
2005051530021	KALEEM AHMED	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2005051530023	MANSI NISHAD	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2005051530026	MOHD ANAS	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2005051530028	MOHD SHAHID RAZA	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	4	2
2005051530031	NABEEL ANSARI	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3
2005051530034	NITIN KUMAR	4	5	4	2	1	3	4	5	4	3
2005051530040	RAJENDRA SINGH	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2005051530041	RIYA SRIVASTAVA	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2005051530042	SABA SHAHEEN	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2005051530043	SATYAM GUPTA	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2005051530044	SATYAM KUMAR	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2005051530045	SAUMY TIWARI	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2005051530047	SHIFA AKBAR	5	5	5	3	5	4	4	4	4	5
2005051530048	SHIPRA	4	5	5	4	5	5	3	4	5	5
	Average	3.57	3.71	3.71	4.19	3.81	3.76	3.90	4.57	3.67	3.48

### Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criterias.
- 3 After averaging it was found that according to the feedback given by students "Syllabus is not much alliened so as to meet the demand of higher studies"

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done , GATE classes were started so as to make students capable of clearing exams and persue higher studies.



Dr. Sudhir Singh  
HoD CSE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science Engineering-AIML

Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)

ODD Sem 4<sup>th</sup> Year/7<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)


Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2005051530007	ANUPAM SINGH	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2005051530009	ANURAG TRIPATHI	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2005051530012	CHANDNI VERMA	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2005051530014	DEEPIKA SHUKLA	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2005051530016	DIVYANSHI JAUHARI	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2005051530017	ESHA PAL	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3
2005051530018	GAURAV SINGH	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2005051530021	KALEEM AHMED	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2005051530023	MANSI NISHAD	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2005051530026	MOHD ANAS	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2005051530028	MOHD SHAHID RAZA	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2005051530031	NABEEL ANSARI	4	3	2	2	5	5	4	5	5	3
2005051530034	NITIN KUMAR	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2005051530040	RAJENDRA SINGH	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2005051530041	RIYA SRIVASTAVA	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3
2005051530042	SABA SHAHEEN	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2
2005051530043	SATYAM GUPTA	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2
2005051530044	SATYAM KUMAR	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2005051530045	SAUMY TIWARI	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2005051530047	SHIFA AKBAR	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2005051530048	SHIPRA	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
	Average	3.62	3.29	3.24	4.52	4.00	3.62	3.76	4.57	4.19	3.29

### Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criterias.

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done , GATE classes were started so as to make students capable of clearing exams and persue higher studies.

  
 Dr. Sudhir Singh  
 HoD CSE

Department  
of  
Civil  
Engineering



# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Civil Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)  
ODD Sem 2<sup>nd</sup> Year/3<sup>rd</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2205050000001	ABHISHEK KUMAR MAURYA	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2205050000002	ANAND SINGH	3	4	5	5	5	2	2	2	4	3
2205050000003	ARYAN SINGH SENGAR	4	5	5	3	3	5	1	5	5	4
2205050000004	FUZAIL ALI	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3
2205050000005	NEERAJ PANDEY	2	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3
2205050000006	RAJESH KUSHWAHA	4	3	2	2	5	5	4	5	5	3
2205050000007	SACHIN YADAV	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2205050000008	SHIVAM PANDEY	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2205050000009	SHIVANI GAUTAM	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3
2205050000010	SUBHAN ILAHI	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2
2305050009001	ADARSH KUMAR	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2
2305050009002	AHSAN RAZA	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2305050009003	AMAN RAZA	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2305050009004	ASTITVA KATIYAR	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2305050009005	NANDINI SINGH	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
	Average	3.67	3.80	3.93	4.33	4.40	3.93	3.73	4.33	4.73	3.13

## Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done, More focus will be on Industry oriented Trainings.

Mr. Praneet Madhav  
HOD CE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Civil Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2022-23)  
ODD Sem 3<sup>rd</sup> Year/5<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

<b>Grading range</b>		
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Marks</b>
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll Number	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2105050000001	AAHANA GUPTA	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3
2105050000002	AJIT KUMAR	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2
2105050000004	AYUSH DWIVEDI	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2
2105050000009	KHUSHI SHUKLA	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2105050000019	YASHIKA SINGH	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2105050000017	UTKARSH SRIVASTAVA	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2105050000014	REESHU BANSAL	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2105050000006	GAURAV VERMA	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2105050000018	VIRENDRA KUMAR	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2105050000001	AAHANA GUPTA	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2105050000002	AJIT KUMAR	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2105050000004	AYUSH DWIVEDI	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2105050000009	KHUSHI SHUKLA	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2105050000019	YASHIKA SINGH	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
	Average	4.36	3.50	3.57	4.79	4.50	4.07	3.86	4.43	4.71	3.00

### Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done, more focus will be on Industry oriented workshops & skill-based courses.

Mr. Praneet Madhav  
HOD CE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Civil Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2022-23)  
ODD Sem 4<sup>th</sup> Year /7<sup>th</sup> Sem

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll Number	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
1905050000010	PRANESH CHAND SINGH	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2005050000002	GULAM DASTGEER	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2005050000003	IBRAHIM KHAN	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2005050000004	MOHD FAIZ	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2005050000005	PARUL MISHRA	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2005050000006	RITIK CHAURASIA	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2005050000007	SAMAR PRAKASH	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2005050000009	SHUBHAM GUPTA	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
	Average	4.25	3.75	3.38	4.88	4.38	3.63	3.50	4.25	4.75	3.25

## Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done , GATE classes were started so as to make students capable of clearing exams and persue higher studies.

Mr. Praneet Madhav  
HOD CE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Civil Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2022-23)  
EVEN Sem 2<sup>nd</sup> Year/4<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2205050000001	ABHISHEK KUMAR MAURYA	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	4	2
2205050000002	ANAND SINGH	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2205050000003	ARYAN SINGH SENGAR	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2205050000004	FUZAIL ALI	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2205050000005	NEERAJ PANDEY	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	3	5
2205050000006	RAJESH KUSHWAHA	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2205050000007	SACHIN YADAV	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2205050000008	SHIVAM PANDEY	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	4	2
2205050000009	SHIVANI GAUTAM	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	3
2205050000010	SUBHAN ILAHI	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	4	5	2
2305050009001	ADARSH KUMAR	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2305050009002	AHSAN RAZA	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	3	3
2305050009003	AMAN RAZA	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2305050009004	ASTITVA KATIYAR	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2305050009005	NANDINI SINGH	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
Average		4.13	3.38	3.00	4.88	4.50	3.50	3.63	4.38	4.50	3.00

### Analysis

- 1 Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- 2 Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done, More focus will be on Industry oriented Trainings.

Mr. Praneet Madhav  
HOD CE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Civil Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)  
EVEN Sem 3<sup>rd</sup> Year/6<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll Number	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2105050000001	AAHANA GUPTA	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2105050000002	AJIT KUMAR	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2105050000004	AYUSH DWIVEDI	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2105050000009	KHUSHI SHUKLA	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	4	2
2105050000019	YASHIKA SINGH	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3
2105050000017	UTKARSH SRIVASTAVA	4	5	4	2	1	3	4	5	4	3
2105050000014	REESHU BANSAL	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2105050000006	GAURAV VERMA	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2105050000018	VIRENDRA KUMAR	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2105050000001	AAHANA GUPTA	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2105050000002	AJIT KUMAR	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	3	3
2105050000004	AYUSH DWIVEDI	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2105050000009	KHUSHI SHUKLA	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2105050000019	YASHIKA SINGH	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
	Average	3.57	4.00	3.86	3.86	3.86	3.71	4.14	4.43	4.14	2.71

## Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
2. Average was taken for all the 10 criterias.
3. After averaging it was found that according to the feedback given by students "Syllabus is not much aliened so as to meet the demand of higher studies"

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done , GATE classes were started so as to make students capable of clearing exams and persue higher studies.

Mr. Praneet Madhav  
HOD CE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Civil Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2022-23)  
EVEN Sem 4<sup>th</sup> Year/ 8<sup>th</sup> Sem

<b>Grading range</b>		
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>Marks</b>
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll Number	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
1905050000010	PRANESH CHAND SINGH	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2005050000002	GULAM DASTGEER	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2005050000003	IBRAHIM KHAN	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2005050000004	MOHD FAIZ	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
2005050000005	PARUL MISHRA	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	3	3
2005050000006	RITIK CHAURASIA	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2005050000007	SAMAR PRAKASH	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2005050000009	SHUBHAM GUPTA	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5
Average		4.375	4.125	3.875	4.5	4.25	4	3.75	4.375	3.5	3.5

## Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
2. Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.
3. After averaging it was found that according to the feedback given by students "Syllabus is not much aliened so as to meet the demand of higher studies"

**Action Taken** In accordance with the analysis done , GATE classes were started so as to make students capable of clearing exams and pursue higher studies.

Mr. Praneet Madhav  
HOD CE



Department  
of  
Electronics &  
Communication  
Engineering



# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)

ODD Sem 2<sup>nd</sup> Year/3<sup>rd</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2105050310002	ADNAN AHMAD	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2105050310003	AISHA WARIS	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2205050310002	ADITYA YADAV	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2205050310025	UTKARSH SINGH	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2205050310013	LAXMI PRAJAPATI	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3
2205050310005	ANKIT KUMAR MADDHESHIYA	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2
2205050310010	GAURAV SINGH YADAV	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2
2205050310023	SHIVAM SHARMA	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2205050310003	AKSHAT SAXENA	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2205050310007	ARBAZ AHMAD	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2205050310021	SANDEEP YADAV	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2205050310022	SHAHLA KHAN	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	4	2
2205050310026	VAIBHAV UMRAO	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3
2205050310001	ADITYA SINGH	4	5	4	2	1	3	4	5	4	3
2205050310011	HARSH DARYANI	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2205050310016	MOHD ATIF FIROZ	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2205050310018	NIKHIL SINGH	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2205050310004	ANCHAL SINGH	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2205050310008	ARMAN	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2205050310024	UJJWAL SRIVASTAVA	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
	Average	3.70	3.60	3.50	4.25	3.70	3.75	4.10	4.70	3.35	3.30

## Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.

2. Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** There is a need of more industry related training. Workshops & Training Session were proposed.



Mr. Rajeev Sachan  
HOD ECE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Student Curriculum Feedback (2022-23)

ODD Sem 3<sup>rd</sup> Year/5<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5


Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
1905050310008	ARIDAMAN SINGH	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2005050310001	AJAY KUMAR	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2105050310004	HIMANSHU RAJ	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2105050310008	SAMRAH RIZWAN	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2105050310005	MOHAMMAD MUDASSIR	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2105050310006	MOHD. FURQAAN	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2205050319001	RATNESH	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
1905050310008	ARIDAMAN SINGH	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2105050310005	MOHAMMAD MUDASSIR	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2105050310006	MOHD. FURQAAN	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
	Average	3.40	3.60	2.20	4.20	3.60	3.20	3.60	4.60	3.00	3.40

## Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.

2. Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

## Action Taken

  
Mr. Rajeev Sachan  
HOD ECE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Student Curriculum Feedback (2022-23)

ODD Sem 4<sup>th</sup> Year/7<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Curriculum and Syllabi of the Courses	The extent of syllabi covered in the Class	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	Course delivery by faculty members in the class	Usage of teaching aids and ICT in the class by faculty to facilitate teaching	Fairness in the assessment processes (Quiz, Assignments, etc.)	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes the availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	Integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective	Integrate content with other courses	Overall Learning Experience
2005050310002	AKARSH PANDEY	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2005050310006	ASHUTOSH MISHRA	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2005050310007	AYUSH SINGH	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2005050310008	DEEPA TEJWANI	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2005050310009	RAJAN MALHOTRA	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2005050310003	AMRENDRA SINGH	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2005050310005	ANSHUL KUMAR	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2005050310010	ROHIT KUMAR RAI	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2005050310011	SHUBHAM SINGH	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2105050319001	RISHABH SONKAR	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2005050310002	AKARSH PANDEY	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
	Average	3.91	4.09	2.45	4.18	3.55	3.09	3.82	4.55	2.64	3.18

## Analysis

- Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

## Action Taken



Mr. Rajeev Sachan  
HOD ECE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)

EVEN Sem 2<sup>nd</sup> Year/4<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content Course content is followed by referencing reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2105050310002	ADNAN AHMAD	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2105050310003	AISHA WARIS	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2205050310002	ADITYA YADAV	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2205050310025	UTKARSH SINGH	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2205050310013	LAXMI PRAJAPATI	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2205050310005	ANKIT KUMAR MADDHESHIYA	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2205050310010	GAURAV SINGH YADAV	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2205050310023	SHIVAM SHARMA	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2205050310003	AKSHAT SAXENA	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2205050310007	ARBAZ AHMAD	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2205050310021	SANDEEP YADAV	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2205050310022	SHAHLA KHAN	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2205050310026	VAIBHAV UMRAO	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2205050310001	ADITYA SINGH	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2205050310011	HARSH DARYANI	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2205050310016	MOHD ATIF FIROZ	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2205050310018	NIKHIL SINGH	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2205050310004	ANCHAL SINGH	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2205050310008	ARMAN	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2205050310024	UJJWAL SRIVASTAVA	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
	Average	3.60	3.75	2.45	4.25	3.55	3.25	3.85	4.55	2.90	3.25

## Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.

2. Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** There is a need of more industry related training. Workshops & Training Session were proposed.



Mr. Rajeev Sachan  
HOD ECE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Student Curriculum Feedback (2022-23)

EVEN Sem 3<sup>rd</sup> Year/6<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus suitable to the course	is the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability ( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
1905050310008	ARIDAMAN SINGH	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2	
2005050310001	AJAY KUMAR	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3	
2105050310004	HIMANSHU RAJ	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5	
2105050310008	SAMRAH RIZWAN	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4	
2105050310005	MOHAMMAD MUDASSIR	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3	
2105050310006	MOHD. FURQAAN	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	
2205050319001	RATNESH	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2	
1905050310008	ARIDAMAN SINGH	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3	
2105050310005	MOHAMMAD MUDASSIR	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5	
2105050310006	MOHD. FURQAAN	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4	
	Average	3.60	3.90	2.10	4.10	3.60	2.90	3.50	4.60	2.70	3.40	

## Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
2. Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

## Action Taken



Mr. Rajeev Sachan  
HOD ECE

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2022-23)  
EVEN Sem 4<sup>th</sup> Year/8<sup>th</sup> Sem (2023-24)

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Curriculum and Syllabi of the Courses	The extent of syllabi covered in the Class	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	Course delivery by faculty members in the class	Usage of teaching aids and ICT in the class by faculty to facilitate teaching	Fairness in the assessment processes (Quiz, Assignments, etc.)	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes the availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	Integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective	Integrate content with other courses	Overall Learning Experience
2005050310002	AKARSH PANDEY	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2005050310006	ASHUTOSH MISHRA	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2005050310007	AYUSH SINGH	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2005050310008	DEEPA TEJWANI	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2005050310009	RAJAN MALHOTRA	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2005050310003	AMRENDRA SINGH	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2005050310005	ANSHUL KUMAR	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2005050310010	ROHIT KUMAR RAI	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2005050310011	SHUBHAM SINGH	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2105050319001	RISHABH SONKAR	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2005050310002	AKARSH PANDEY	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
Average		3.45	3.73	2.18	4.00	3.64	3.09	3.73	4.55	2.82	3.27

## Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
2. Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

## Action Taken



Mr. Rajeev Sachan  
HOD ECE

Department  
of  
Mechanical  
Engineering

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)  
2<sup>nd</sup> Year/3<sup>rd</sup> Sem

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5


Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2205050400001	ABDUL AHAD	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2205050400002	ANKUSH KUMAR	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2205050400005	HAMMAD KHAN	3	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	3	5
2205050400007	KSHITIJ SACHAN	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	4
2205050400008	KUNAL VISHWAKARMA	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3
2205050400012	MOHD AHMAD	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	2
2205050400014	MOHIT KUMAR	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2
2205050400016	NIKHIL SINGH	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
2205050400022	SAKSHAM GUPTA	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2205050400023	SANTOSH KUMAR	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2205050400024	SURAJ KUSHWAHA	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	4	2
2205050400025	VAIBHAV PANDEY	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3
2205050400026	YASH VERMA	4	5	4	2	1	3	4	5	4	3
2305050409001	AMAN KUMAR VERMA	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2305050409002	AYUSH TIWARI	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2305050409003	MADAN MOHAN MISHRA	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2305050409004	SIDDHANT KAUSHIK	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
	Average	3.53	3.65	3.71	4.24	3.82	3.76	4.12	4.65	3.71	3.18

## Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.

2. Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** There is a need of more industry related training. Workshops & Training Session were proposed.



Mr. Gaurav Pandey  
HOD ME



# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2022-23)  
3<sup>rd</sup> Year / 5<sup>th</sup> Sem


Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2105050400004	ANKUR	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2105050400005	APOORVA DIXIT	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2105050400014	MOHD. MUSHAHID ANSARI	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2105050400019	SUJAL VERMA	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2105050400021	UMAM HUSSAIN	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	4	2
2105050400022	VAIBHAV KATIYAR	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3
2105050400023	VARUN VERMA	4	5	4	2	1	3	4	5	4	3
2205050409001	ALJURAIISH PARVEZ	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2205050409002	ANAS FAISAL	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
	Average	3.67	4.11	3.56	3.89	3.89	3.44	4.22	4.78	3.33	3.33

## Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
2. Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

## Action Taken



Mr. Gaurav Pandey  
HOD ME

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)  
4th Year/ 7<sup>th</sup> Sem


Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values (In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability (Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2005050400001	ABHISHEK KUMAR	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2005050400002	ABHISHEK PANDEY	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2005050400004	AMAAN ALI	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2005050400005	AYUSH KUMAR RAWAT	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2005050400007	MANAHIR SIDDIQUI	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2005050400008	MANI SHANKAR DIXIT	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	4	2
2005050400009	PRASHANT KUMAR TIWARI	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3
2005050400010	RAM SHANKAR VISHWAKARMA	4	5	4	2	1	3	4	5	4	3
2005050400012	SHIVAM KR SHUKLA	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2005050400013	SUFIYAN AHMAD ANSARI	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2005050400014	VIKESH KUMAR	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2105050409001	AJEET PRAKASH YADAV	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2105050409002	HEM YADAV	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
	Average	3.85	3.92	3.85	4.00	3.92	3.85	4.46	4.62	3.31	3.08

## Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
2. Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

## Action Taken

  
Mr. Gaurav Pandey  
HOD ME

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)  
2<sup>nd</sup> Year/4<sup>th</sup> Sem


Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2205050400001	ABDUL AHAD	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2
2205050400002	ANKUSH KUMAR	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2205050400005	HAMMAD KHAN	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	4	2
2205050400007	KSHITIJ SACHAN	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3
2205050400008	KUNAL VISHWAKARMA	4	5	4	2	1	3	4	5	4	3
2205050400012	MOHD AHMAD	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2205050400014	MOHIT KUMAR	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2205050400016	NIKHIL SINGH	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2205050400022	SAKSHAM GUPTA	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2205050400023	SANTOSH KUMAR	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2205050400024	SURAJ KUSHWAHA	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	4	2
2205050400025	VAIBHAV PANDEY	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3
2205050400026	YASH VERMA	4	5	4	2	1	3	4	5	4	3
2305050409001	AMAN KUMAR VERMA	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2305050409002	AYUSH TIWARI	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2305050409003	MADAN MOHAN MISHRA	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2305050409004	SIDDHANT KAUSHIK	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
	Average	3.71	4.06	3.82	3.94	3.82	3.59	4.24	4.59	3.59	3.06

## Analysis

- Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

**Action Taken** There is a need of more industry related training. Workshops & Training Session were proposed.



Mr. Gaurav Pandey  
HOD ME

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2022-23)  
3<sup>rd</sup> Year/6<sup>th</sup> Sem


Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2105050400004	ANKUR	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2105050400005	APOORVA DIXIT	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2105050400014	MOHD. MUSHAHID ANSARI	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2105050400019	SUJAL VERMA	1	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	5	4
2105050400021	UMAM HUSSAIN	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	4	2
2105050400022	VAIBHAV KATIYAR	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3
2105050400023	VARUN VERMA	4	5	4	2	1	3	4	5	4	3
2205050409001	ALJURAIISH PARVEZ	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2205050409002	ANAS FAISAL	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
	Average	3.67	4.11	3.56	3.89	3.89	3.44	4.22	4.78	3.33	3.33

## Analysis

1. Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
2. Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

## Action Taken



Mr. Gaurav Pandey  
HOD ME

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Student Curriculum Feedback (2023-24)  
4th Year/8<sup>th</sup> Sem


Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

Roll No.	Student Name	Syllabus is suitable to the course	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students	Course content is followed by corresponding reference materials.	The syllabus has good balance between theory and application.	The syllabus has made me interested in the subject area.	The syllabus covers Modern/Advanced topics.	Syllabus is Industry Oriented.	Learning Values( In terms of skills, concepts, Knowledge, Analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	Applicability( Applicable in real life)	Helps going for higher studies.
2005050400001	ABHISHEK KUMAR	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3
2005050400002	ABHISHEK PANDEY	4	5	4	2	1	3	4	5	4	3
2005050400004	AMAAN ALI	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2005050400005	AYUSH KUMAR RAWAT	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2005050400007	MANAHIR SIDDIQUI	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2005050400008	MANI SHANKAR DIXIT	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2005050400009	PRASHANT KUMAR TIWARI	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2005050400010	RAM SHANKAR VISHWAKARMA	4	5	4	2	1	3	4	5	4	3
2005050400012	SHIVAM KR SHUKLA	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
2005050400013	SUFIYAN AHMAD ANSARI	4	5	1	3	5	2	4	5	2	3
2005050400014	VIKESH KUMAR	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	2	4
2105050409001	AJEET PRAKASH YADAV	2	2	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4
2105050409002	HEM YADAV	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	2
	Average	4.08	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.62	4.08	4.69	4.62	3.15	3.00

## Analysis

- Students whose average attendance was above 85% were considered for Feedback.
- Average was taken for all the 10 criteria.

## Action Taken



Mr. Gaurav Pandey  
HOD ME

# **Teachers Feedback**

## **(Department & Session Wise)**

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Computer Science Engineering

Faculty Feedback Form (2023-24)

Dear Faculty!!

This feedback form is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, syllabus, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the programme of the institution.

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

## Faculty Feedback Form on Curriculum

Faculty Name	Current syllabus is updated as per current market demands	Need of review of the syllabus	Curriculum enhanced employability	The course content fulfil the need of students	Syllabus has made me interested in the subject area	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate.	Freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus.	Institute provides adequate funding and support to faculty members for upgrading their skills and qualifications.	Provisions for professional development are non-discriminatory and fair.	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research.	On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with AIT's students, syllabus, and the curriculum?	Suggestion if Any:
ABHIMANYU MISHRA	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	5	9	NA
AJAY SINGH	5	3	4	5	5	4	4	5	3	5	10	NA
ANUBHAV BEWERWAL	4	3	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	9	NA
APOORV MISHRA	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	8	NA
EQBAL AHMAD	3	2	4	4	4	4	1	4	3	4	8	NA
FATEH KUNWAR	2	4	3	3	4	5	4	5	5	5	8	NA
HARI DIXIT	2	4	3	3	4	5	4	5	5	5	8	NA
NARENDRA KUMAR	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	9	NA
NITEKA THAKUR	3	3	5	5	5	3	3	5	4	4	8	NA
PRADEEP KUMAR	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	9	NA
RAJEND RARAJOURIA	5	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	9	NA
RAMJI MISHRA	3	3	5	5	5	3	3	5	4	4	8	NA
RENU CHAURASIA	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	8	NA
RICHA AWASTHI	3	3	3	4	3	4	2	5	5	5	7	NA
SANJAY KAPOOR	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	5	4	7	NA
VAIBHAV SHUKLA	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	5	5	5	7	NA
<b>Average</b>	3.40	2.90	3.70	3.75	3.80	3.90	3.35	4.60	4.45	4.55	8.15	

Based in the analysis, it is found that the syllabus of few subjects are not tuned with the demand of industries. It must be synchronized with latest techniques that can fulfill the job opportunities of students.

Department has started Internships, Competitive Coding Challenges, Research based projects along with prior initiatives to fulfill the current demand of outer world and the curriculum.

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

## Department of Computer Science Engineering-AIML

### Faculty Feedback Form (2023-24)

Dear Faculty!!

This feedback form is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, syllabus, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the programme of the institution.

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

#### Faculty Feedback Form on Curriculum

Faculty Name	Current syllabus is updated as per current market demands	Need of review of the syllabus	Curriculum enhanced employability	The course content fulfils the need of students	Syllabus has made me interested in the subject area	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate.	Freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus.	Institute provides adequate funding and support to faculty members for upgrading their skills and qualifications.	Provisions for professional development are non-discriminatory and fair.	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research.	On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with AIT's students, syllabus, and the curriculum?	Suggestions if Any:
ABHISHEK BHATT	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	9	NA
AMIT SINHA	3	3	5	5	5	3	3	5	4	4	8	NA
ANKUR TANDON	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	9	NA
ANUJ DUBEY	5	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	9	NA
ASHUTOSH TRIPATHI	3	3	5	5	5	3	3	5	4	4	8	NA
GAURAV TIWARI	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	8	NA
HAMMAD MASHKOOR	3	3	3	4	3	4	2	5	5	5	7	NA
KAPIL MANCHANDANI	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	5	4	7	NA
MANISH JAIN	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	5	5	5	7	NA
NAMITA AWASTHI	3	2	3	3	4	4	3	5	5	5	7	NA
NARENDRA YADAV	4	3	3	3	3	5	4	5	5	5	8	NA
RAM BHUSHAN	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	8	NA
RIYA GUPTA	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	5	5	5	8	NA
SANDHYA DEVI	3	3	3	4	3	4	2	5	5	5	7	NA
SAURABH PRASAD	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	5	4	7	NA
SHASHWAT MISHRA	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	5	5	5	7	NA
SHIVANI GUPTA	3	2	3	3	4	4	3	5	5	5	7	NA
SONY TRIPATHI	4	3	3	3	3	5	4	5	5	5	8	NA
SUDHIR SINGH	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	8	NA
SUNIL KUMAR	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	5	5	5	8	NA
VIVEK SHUKLA	5	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	9	NA
YOGENDRA SINGH	3	3	5	5	5	3	3	5	4	4	8	NA
Avg	3.30	2.70	3.48	3.56	3.48	3.63	3.19	4.67	4.63	4.56	7.70	

**Analysis:** - Based in the analysis, it is found that the syllabus of few subjects are not tuned with the demand of industries. It must be synchronized with latest techniques that can fulfill the job opportunities of students.

**Contribution:** - Department has started Internships, Competitive Coding Challenges, Research based projects along with prior initiatives to fulfill the current demand of outer world and the curriculum.



# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Civil Engineering  
Faculty Feedback Form (2023-24)

Dear Faculty!!

This feedback form is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, syllabus, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the programme of the institution.

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

## Faculty Feedback Form on Curriculum

Faculty Name	Current syllabus is updated as per current market demands	Need of review of the syllabus	Curriculum enhanced employability	The course content fulfils the need of students	Syllabus has made me interested in the subject area	The books prescribed/ listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate.	Freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus.	Institute provides adequate funding and support to faculty members for upgrading their skills and qualifications.	Provisions for professional development are non-discriminatory and fair.	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research.	On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with AIT's students, syllabus, and the curriculum ?	Suggestions if Any:
ANURAG CHATURVEDI	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	10	NA
PRANEET MADHAV	4	4	4	3	3	5	3	5	5	5	10	NA
SAURABH GUPTA	5	5	3	5	4	5	4	3	4	5	9	NA
SRIVASTAV YOGESH KISHORE	4	5	4	5	4	5	3	3	4	5	8	NA
YASH YADAV	5	5	3	5	4	5	4	3	4	5	9	NA
<b>Average</b>	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	3.75	5.00	3.75	4.00	4.50	5.00	9.25	
<b>Analysis:-</b> Based in the analysis, it is found that the syllabus of few subjects are not tuned with the demand of industries. It must be synchronized with latest techniques that can fulfill the job opportunities of students.												
<b>Contribution: -</b> Department has started Internships, Competitive Coding Challenges, Research based projects along with prior initiatives to fulfill the current demand of outer world and the curriculum.												

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering

## Faculty Feedback Form (2023-24)

Dear Faculty!!

This feedback form is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, syllabus, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the programme of the institution.

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

### Faculty Feedback Form on Curriculum

Faculty Name	Current syllabus is updated as per current market demands	Need of review of the syllabus	Curriculum enhanced employability	The course content fulfills the need of students	Syllabus has made me interested in the subject area	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate.	Freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus.	Institute provides adequate funding and support to faculty members for upgrading their skills and qualifications.	Provisions for professional development are non-discriminatory and fair.	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research.	On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with AIT's students, syllabus, and the curriculum?	Suggestions if Any:
RAJEEV SACHAN	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	5	9	NA
SUMIT GUPTA	5	3	4	5	5	4	4	5	3	5	10	NA
ABHAI CHAURASIA	4	3	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	9	NA
SHIVAKANT PANDEY	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	8	NA
SUNIL DUBEY	3	2	4	4	4	4	1	4	3	4	8	NA
VARUN SHUKLA	2	4	3	3	4	5	4	5	5	5	8	NA
ABHISHEK DWIVEDI	2	4	3	3	4	5	4	5	5	5	8	NA
<b>Average</b>	3.38	3.13	3.75	3.88	4.13	4.38	3.50	4.38	4.25	4.63	8.63	
<b>Analysis:</b> - Based in the analysis, it is found that the syllabus of few subjects is not tuned with the demand of industries. It must be synchronized with latest techniques that can fulfill the job opportunities of students.												
<b>Contribution:</b> - Department has started Internships, Competitive Coding Challenges, Research based projects along with prior initiatives to fulfill the current demand of outer world and the curriculum.												

# Allenhouse Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty Feedback Form (2023-24)

Dear Faculty!!

This feedback form is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, syllabus, teaching, learning and evaluation. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the programme of the institution.

Grading range		
S.No.	Grade	Marks
1	Poor	1
2	Satisfactory	2
3	Good	3
4	Very Good	4
5	Excellent	5

## Faculty Feedback Form on Curriculum

Faculty Name	Current syllabus is updated as per current market demands	Need of review of the syllabus	Curriculum enhanced employability	The course content fulfils the need of students	Syllabus has made me interested in the subject area	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate.	Freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus.	Institute provides adequate funding and support to faculty members for upgrading their skills and qualifications.	Provisions for professional development are non-discriminatory and fair.	The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research.	On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with AIT's students, syllabus, and the curriculum?	Suggestions if Any:
ABHISHEK NIGAM	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	3	4	5	10	NA
AMBRISH SINGH	4	3	3	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	10	NA
ASHISH KATIYAR	4	4	4	3	5	5	4	5	5	5	8	NA
ASHWANI AGNIHOTRI	5	3	2	3	4	4	5	3	5	3	9	NA
AVINASH SHARMA	3	4	5	3	2	4	5	3	3	3	9	NA
BHARTI YADAV	4	5	3	5	3	5	3	3	3	4	8	NA
GAURAV PANDEY	4	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	9	NA
MANOJ RAWAT	5	5	4	4	4	3	3	5	3	4	8	NA
SHRADDHA BAJPAI	5	3	5	4	3	3	5	5	5	5	8	NA
<b>Average</b>	4.36	4.09	3.91	3.55	3.45	4.27	4.36	4.00	3.73	4.00	8.82	
<b>Analysis: - Based in the analysis, it is found that the syllabus of few subjects is not tuned with the demand of industries. It must be synchronized with latest techniques that can fulfill the job opportunities of students.</b>												
<b>Contribution: - Department has started Internships, Competitive Coding Challenges, Research based projects along with prior initiatives to fulfill the current demand of outer world and the curriculum.</b>												